**TEMPLATE FOR REPORTING ON RECENT INITIATIVES ON SPORT FOR DEVELOPMENT AND PEACE**

***Olympic Movement Unit on the Prevention of the Manipulation of Competitions (“OM Unit PMC”)***

***Task:*** *Provide a summary of the initiative, including a brief overview, proposed/actual outcomes and an assessment of any lessons learned and the way forward.*

***Timeframe:*** *Please only include initiatives that fall within the reporting timeframe of January 2022 – February 2024*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Please provide more details on each initiative including items below:** | | |
| **Objective(s):** | *Please indicate which, if any, of the following fall among the main objectives of the initiative:* | |
| * Ensuring no one is left behind (advancing empowerment, inclusiveness and equality through sport) | * Eradicating poverty and promoting prosperity |
| * Leveraging sports events to promote action to combat climate change, advance peace and/or sustainable development | * Conflict prevention/peace building |
| * Research development, data collection and/or data dissemination | * Safely harnessing sport for sustainable development, peace and wellbeing in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, including through the use of technology |
| * Reinforce the 2030 Agenda and eradicate poverty in times of multiple crises, leading to the effective delivery of sustainable, resilient, and innovative solutions | * **Safeguarding sport from corruption and crime** |
| * Strengthened global framework on sport for development and peace | * Other (please specify) |
| **Situation** | *What is the challenge or problem that the initiative aims to address?* | |
| **Implementation mechanisms:** | *What are the means/processes of implementation of the initiative?*  OM Unit PMC ensures that the main constituents of the Olympic Movement (National Olympic Committees (NOCs), International Federations (IFs) but also multi-sport event organisers) develop activities against competition manipulations (notably adopt rules in line with the Olympic Movement Code on the Prevention of the Manipulation of Competitions, develop awareness-raising activities and handle cases with our support). The OM Unit PMC collaborates closely with intergovernmental and law enforcement organisations, such as UNODC, INTERPOL, and Council of Europe, and supports NOCs to develop strong relations with state and law enforcement authorities.  *What are the main deliverables/activities involved?*   * Support Olympic Movement stakeholders with developing rules in line with the Olympic Movement Code on the Prevention of the Manipulation of Competitions (“OM Code PMC”) * Support Olympic Movement stakeholders with developing awareness-raising activities, direct awareness-raising activities on site during the Olympics and other mega sport events * Support Olympic Movement stakeholders regarding Intelligence and case-management of potential cases of competition manipulation * Supporting sport organisations, state and law enforcement entities to build strong cooperation, working closely with UNODC, INTERPOL, Council of Europe etc   *What is the time frame of implementation?*  The Unit supports on an on-going basis all Olympic Movement Stakeholders with safeguarding the integrity of their competitions, with emphasis on the Olympic Games, over which the IOC has direct jurisdiction. | |
| **Target Audience(s):** | *Who are the beneficiaries of the proposed/implemented initiative?*   * NOCs, IFs, multi-sport event organisers benefit from the activities, tools and resources of the Unit * Law enforcement entities, state authorities, and betting entities can benefit from the joint capacity building activities carried out as part of the INTERPOL and UNODC partnerships. | |
| **Partners/Funding:** | *Who are the main organizations/entities involved in the initiative and what are their roles?*  INTERPOL and UNODC collaborate on the delivery of capacity building activities  *What are the main sources of funding of the initiative?*  In March 2023 the IOC created a fund of USD 10 million per Olympiad to strengthen the prevention of competition manipulations (and harassment in sport) | |
| **SDG Alignment:** | *To what SDG goal/target/indicator is this initiative targeted?*  SDG 17: Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the Global Partnership for Sustainable Development.  *Please indicate any other national or internationally agreed goals/commitments to which this initiative is aligned.*   * United Nations Convention against Corruption (UNCAC) * Resolution 7/9 and 8/4 of UNCAC Conference of State Parties * Council of Europe Convention on the Manipulation of Sports Competitions * Kazan Action Plan | |
| **Alignment with global frameworks:** | *How does this initiative align with/contribute to the objectives of the* [*Kazan Action Plan*](https://en.unesco.org/mineps6/kazan-action-plan)*,* [*WHO Global Action Plan on Physical Activity*](https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/272722/9789241514187-eng.pdf) *or other related internationally agreed frameworks on sport and/or physical activity?*  The work of the Unit is fully in line with sections 17-19 of the Kazan Action Plan. The Olympic Movement Code on the Prevention of the Manipulation of Competitions (OM Unit PMC) fully mirrors article 7 of the Council of Europe Convention on the Manipulation of Sports Competitions (also referred to in s.18).  This initiative is also fully aligned with the Resolution 8/4 on Safeguarding Sport from Corruption adopted by the Conference of the States Parties to the United Nations Convention against Corruption at its eighth session, held in Abu Dhabi from 16 to 20 December 2019 | |
| **Alignment with United Nations Action Plan on SDP:** | *Which of the four thematic areas of the* [*UN Action Plan on Sport for Development and Peace*](https://www.un.org/development/desa/dspd/wp-content/uploads/sites/22/2018/06/14.pdf) *is this initiative designed to align?*  N/A  *To which action area(s) of the Plan is this initiative designed to contribute?*  N/A | |
| **Outcomes:** | *What are the expected/actual outcomes of the initiative?*   * Increased awareness of sportspersons on the risks of competition manipulations and the relevant rules * Increased capacity of sports organisations to deal with such incidents and cases, run disciplinary investigations and cooperate with law enforcement and state authorities * Increased cooperation between sports, law enforcement and state authorities * Rules (by sport organisations) and criminal laws (by state authorities) in place in relation to the phenomenon * Increased identification of breaches of rules/laws and efficient follow-up regarding cases | |
| **Mechanism for monitoring and evaluating implementation:** | *What are the mechanisms for monitoring and evaluating the implementation, outcomes and impact of the initiative?*  Although the Unit is still a new initiative (created in 2017), the outcomes are already visible as there is already an increased number of sportspersons being aware of the topic and the rules, numerous “national cooperation frameworks” are created in various states, more cases are handled sufficiently.  Although in relation to certain aspects (e.g. cases) it is not easy to measure, the Unit has the following implementation mechanisms  - Number of NOCs having adopted rules, number of NOCs working with law enforcement authorities and  being part of national cooperation   * Number of cases/breaches identified, quality of investigations and cooperation with national stakeholders * Number of reports submitted on our topic on the IOC Integrity Hotline * Number of sportspersons reached out during awareness-raising activation, number of sportspersons already familiar with the rules   *What specific monitoring and evaluation tools are involved?*  N/A | |
| **Challenges/Lessons learned** | *What have been/were the main challenges to implementation?*   * Regular changes in the responsible persons for the topic at sports organisations * Oftentimes the lack of mechanisms allowing for exchange of information between criminal justice and sports organisations when a case is ongoing which delays both the disciplinary procedure by the sport organization and the criminal sanctions by the government * Difference in the maturity of sports organisations when it comes to the fight against competition manipulation and resources available * By definition it is difficult to have sufficient evidence during an investigation.   *What lessons learned have been/can be utilized in the planning of future initiatives?*   * Importance of hands-on, and collaborative approaches * Awareness raising is key * The 3-pillar approach of the Unit (rules, awareness-raising, intelligence & investigations) has proved effective and efficient in activating sport organisations | |